


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/655,787	(09/06/2000	Yasuo Kobayashi	08038.0021 8669		
22852	7590	11/14/2003		EXAMINER		
	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER				RINEHART, KENNETH	
LLP 1300 I STREET, NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			3749		
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
A.	09/655,787	KOBAYASHI, YASUO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth B Rinehart	3749	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 S	September 2003.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the			
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 22-28 and 33-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2001 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the service of the serv	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). to of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) are sentence of the specification or covisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/655,787

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the CLF3 adhered to the surface must be shown must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 22-28, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 22 refers to adhering to the surface of the object which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/655,787

Art Unit: 3749

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22-28, 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gray. Gray shows a processing vessel in which an object to be processed is placed (16, fig. 1), a CLF3 supplier for supplying CLF3 into the processing vessel (46, fig. 1, col. 6, lines 32-33), a promoter for promoting the adhesion of CLF3 gas to a surface of the object to be processed (36, fig. 1), an evacuator for evacuating CLf3 gas form the processing vessel (44, fig. 1), an activator for activating CLF3 gas adhered to the surface of the object so as to remove an oxide formed on the surface of the object by using the CLF3 adhered to the surface of the object, an activator for activating the CLF3 gas supplied in the processing vessel (col. 9, lines 26-27, col. 10, line 34, fig. 10), a mount located on the processing vessel for setting the object to be processed thereon (32, fig. 1), the promoter is provided on the mount thereby cooling the object to be processed on the mount (36, fig. 1), the activator heats the object to be processed in a heating position at a distance from an object setting position of the object on the mount (col. 9, lines 25-48), an elevator for moving the object to be processed up and down between the object setting position and the heating position (40, fig. 1), a transport chamber for maintaining a non reactive atmosphere therein and for transporting an object to be processed in the nonreactive atmosphere to and from the apparatus for surface treatment (col. 11, lines 33-36); and at least one processing apparatus for transporting the object to be processed to and from the transport chamber (100, fig.

Art Unit: 3749

4), the apparatus for surface treatment is a metal wiring formation chamber for making metal wiring on the object to be processed (108, fig. 4), a reducer supplier for supplying a reducing gas into the processing vessel (col. 9, lines 13-21).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B Rinehart whose telephone number is 703-308-1722. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached on 703-308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/655,787

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

KBR

Kenneth Rinehart
Patent Examiner

AU 3749

Page 5